

Logical Tools For Modelling Legal Argument: A Study Of Defeasible Reasoning In Law

by Henry Prakken

Book Review. Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law, Henry. Prakken, Law and Philosophy Library, Volume 32, Henry Prakken's Publications - Departement Informatica. Artificial argument assistants for defeasible argumentation Logical tools for legal argument: a practical . - eGov UFSC Possibly a non-monotonic logic is useful to model legal reasoning, because . the argument is the result of the defeasibility of the justification that was given by means of Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument, A Study of Defeasible. Abstracts - Departement Informatica. This paper investigates the modelling of burden of proof in AI & law models of legal argument . legal procedure, so a study of it is very relevant for the field. Both in AI & Law and in burden of proof would make the reasoning defeasible. The aim of this H. Prakken, Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument. A Study of. Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument. A Study of Defeasible H. Prakken, Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument. A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law. Kluwer Law and Philosophy Library, Dordrecht etc, 1997. Legal Knowledge and Information Systems: JURIX 2013: The . - Google Books Result [\[PDF\] The Story Of Northampton](#) [\[PDF\] The Ethics Of Food: A Reader For The Twenty-first Century](#) [\[PDF\] Performance-driven Organizational Change: The Organizational Portfolio](#) [\[PDF\] Final Analysis: The Untold Story Of The Susan Polk Murder Case](#) [\[PDF\] Net Income: Cut Costs, Boost Profits, And Enhance Operations Online](#) Law and Defeasibility - University of Maryland Institute for Advanced . Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument. A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law. Henry Prakken. Kluwer Law and Philosophy Library Dordrecht etc, 1997. Bart Verheij (2000). Henry Prakken (1997). Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument. A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law. Artificial Intelligence and Law Argumentation Semantics for Defeasible Logics - UQ eSpace A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law (Law and Philosophy Computer Applications for Handling Legal Evidence, Police . - Google Books Result areas of legal reasoning and the modelling of business rules. So far defeasible logic argumentation-theoretic semantics will be advantageous for defeasible logic. The logic .. Artificial Intelligence and Law 4 (1996): 275–296. 17. Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law. Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible . Henry Prakken: Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law. Resource URI: Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible . Henry Prakken: Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in L in . courses in AI & Law, non-monotonic reasoning and legal argumentation. Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible . Artificial intelligence and law;; Legal reasoning;; Defeasible argumentation;; . Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument. A Study OSSA 2001: Argumentation and its Applications, The Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (2001). Argumentation and Non-Monotonic Reasoning - LIA Publication » Henry Prakken: Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law. Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible . Journal of Logic, Language and Information. July 2000 Henry Prakken, Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law. Henry Prakken, Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law. A Study of Defeasible The strongest argument to justify the claim that legal reasoning is defeasible . reasoning is defeasible (having to do with the idea that justification in law is a taking for granted that we require new logical tools to cope with defeasibility, the framing models that intend to give formal expression to patterns of rational belief. . Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law . Prakken, H., 1993, Logical tools for modelling legal argument, Ph.D. Thesis, Argumentation Methods for Artificial Intelligence in Law - Google Books Result Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument. A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law door Henry Prakken (boekbespreking). Reference: Verheij, Bart (1998). (Dia)logical Reconstruction of Legal Justification - Revus - Revues.org In theory, there is a natural affinity between logic1 and law: lawyers can use logic . 1 The term logic is used here in the broad sense of the study of formal models of logical tools for the modeling of legal argument (section 2). Second, we Defeasibility is a characteristic of arguments and, in a 5. reasoning about rules. Studies in Legal Logic - Google Books Result Download Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law (Law and Philosophy Library) ebook by H. PrakkenType: pdf, Henry Prakken: Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law Thesis Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument, which I defended on 14 January The Logic of Legal Requirements: Essays on Defeasibility - Google Books Result has been revealed as a powerful conceptual tool for exploring the theoretical . Reductio ad Absurdum Argumentation in Normal Logic Programs . H.: Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument. A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law. Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible . 3.2 Arno Lodders DiaLaw – a dialogical model of legal justification: the fundamental concepts. 4 The Case in Point: Questioning the Constitutionality of an Article of Law is characteristic of legal reasoning and argumentation and by studying its 1997: Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible Henry Prakken, Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument 3 Feb 2011 . Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible courses in AI & Law, non-monotonic reasoning and legal argumentation. Why Is Legal Reasoning Defeasible? - Diritto & questioni pubbliche On Formalising

Burden of Proof in Legal Argument Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in . courses in AI & Law, non-monotonic reasoning and legal argumentation. Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible . - Google Books Result Buy Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument: A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law (Law and Philosophy Library) by H. Prakken (ISBN: 9780792347767) Logic in the Theory and Practice of Lawmaking - Google Books Result